ORDER SHEET

West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

R

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. MA 132 of 2018 (OA 864 of 2016)

Amit Kumar	Mukhopadhyay & Ors. Versus The State of We	st Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3
03 24/07/2019	For the Applicant : Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, Ld. Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent: $N O N E$.	
	The applicants have prayed for an interim order	
	restraining the respondents from giving effect or further	
	effect of notification dated July 06, 2018 issued by the	
	Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal,	
	Department of Women and Child Development and	
	Social Welfare, by which 48 Assistant Child	
	Development Project Officers (in short, A.C.D.P.Os)	
	working in I.C.D.S. Project in one place were directed to	
	hold the additional charge of D.D.O. in the capacity of	
	Child Development Project Officer (in short, C.D.P.O.) in	
	charge of another place under I.C.D.S. Project.	
	None appears on behalf of the respondents inspite	
	of service of notice on the advocate on record for the	
	respondents of the original application being OA-	
	864/2016.	
	It appears from the impugned notification under	
	challenge in the Miscellaneous Application that 48	
	A.C.D.P.Os have been directed to take over additional	
	charge of C.D.P.O. for discharge of duty of D.D.O. in	
	I.C.D.S. Project of another place, though 53 A.C.D.P.Os	
	have challenged the said notification. This anomaly has	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form	N_{Ω}
rorm	INO.

Amit Kumar	Mukhopad	lhyay &
	Ors.	

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA 132 of 2018 (OA 864 of 2016)

Case No. WIA 13	2 01 2018 (OA 80 4 01 2010)	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
		parties when necessary.
1	2	3

not been clarified by Learned Counsel for the applicants.

It is contended on behalf of the applicants that by issuing one G.O. dated August 22, 2003, Ministry of Human Resources Development, Department of Women and Child Development, Government of India has laid down guidelines for reducing 20% staff of I.C.D.S. Projects under I.C.D.S. scheme. The said G.O. of the Central Government dated August 22, 2003 provides that either one A.C.D.P.O. or one C.D.P.O. will remain in charge of the project where both the posts are in existence. The tenor of the said G.O. points out that whenever vacancy will arise in the post of either C.D.P.O. or A.C.D.P.O. in any I.C.D.S. project, only one post will be filled up and other vacant post will be abolished. Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, Learned Counsel appearing for the applicants contends that A.C.D.P.Os working in different I.C.D.S. projects have been transferred from one place to another place as A.C.D.P.Os, for discharge of duty of C.D.P.O. in charge and D.D.O. of another I.C.D.S. project. According to Mr. Bhattacharjee, this order of transfer of A.C.D.P.Os by notification dated July 06, 2018 is in violation of the guidelines given by the Government of India on August 22, 2003 and as such the operation of the notification dated July 06, 2018 may be stayed till the hearing of the original application (OA 864 of 2016).

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

_	• •
Form	Nο

Amit Kumar	Mukhopadhyay &
	Ors.

 $V_{\mathbf{S}}$

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA 132 of 2018 (OA 864 of 2016)			
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.	
1	2	3	
	None appears on behalf of the respondents. 53		
	applicants working as Acting C.D.P.Os filed the original		
	application (OA 864 of 2016) praying for restraining the		
	state respondents from issuing any order of reversion		
	from the post of C.D.P.Os of different I.C.D.S. projects		
	without issuing appropriate order in terms of revised		
	staff pattern notified by Government of India on August		
	22, 2003. On close scrutiny of the G.O. dated August 22,		
	2003, we find that Government of India has issued the		
	guidelines for reducing the expenditure under I.C.D.S.		
	scheme by gradually reducing 20% of the existing staff		
	under the said I.C.D.S. scheme. The said G.O. has given		
	the guidelines that one C.D.P.O. or one A.C.D.P.O. will		
	function in one I.C.D.S. project. By notification dated		
	July 06, 2018, A.C.D.P.O.s have been directed to take		
	over additional charge of A.C.D.P.Os in another I.C.D.S.		
	project' for discharging the duties of D.D.Os as Acting		
	C.D.P.Os. There is nothing on record to indicate that the		
	A.C.D.P.Os who have been directed to take additional		
	charge of A.C.D.P.Os in another I.C.D.S. project will		
	have to function under C.D.P.Os. On the contrary, what		
	transpires from the said notification dated July 06, 2018		
	is that the said A.C.D.P.Os will discharge the duty in the		
	capacity of the C.D.P.O. in charge of I.C.D.S. project in		
	another place and also will function as D.D.O. of the		
	said I.C.D.S. project. The object of order of transfer of		
	A.C.D.P.O. by notification dated July 06, 2018 is to		
	11.0.1.0. by nonneation dated July 00, 2010 18 to		

ensure discharge of function of C.D.P.O. of another

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

_	
Form	No.

Amit Kumar	Mukhopadhyay &
	Ors.

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA 132 of 2018 (OA 864 of 2016)			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date	
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of parties when necessary.	
1	2	3	
	I.C.D.S. project for discharge of duty of D.D.Os in		
	addition to discharge of duty of A.C.D.P.Os in the place		
	of transfer under another I.C.D.S. project. Accordingly,		
	we are unable to accept the contention made on behalf		
	of the applicants that the notification dated July 06,		
	2018 has not been issued by following the guidelines		
	given by the Government of India on August 22, 2003.		
	The guidelines of the Government of India are advisory		
	in nature, and the same can not have any binding effect		
	on the State Government. The logical inference of our		
	above observation is that the state respondents cannot		
	be restrained from giving effect to the order of transfer of		
	48 A.C.D.P.Os by notification dated July 06, 2018 as		
	prayed for.		
	The interim order is, thus, refused. MA-132 of		
	2018, thus, stands disposed of.		
	Let an urgent Xerox Certified Copy of the order be		
	supplied to both parties on priority basis, subject to		
	fulfillment of legal formalities.		
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG		
	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		
Csm			
Colli			